Exploring the interaction of water extraction and injection in a simplified model of the Chingshui geothermal field using thermal-hydraulicmechanical coupling numerical models

> Presenter: Ching-Yuan Kao Advisor: Prof. Shih-Jung Wang Date: 2023/10/17

Outline

Introduction

Methodology

Results

Conclusions

Geothermal energy



- Geothermal energy is heat energy from the earth.
- Geothermal resources are reservoirs of hot water that exist or are human made at varying temperatures and depths below the Earth's surface.
- Wells, ranging from a few meters to several kilometers deep, can be drilled into underground reservoirs to tap steam and very hot water that can be brought to the surface for use in a variety of applications, including electricity generation, direct use, heating and cooling.

◆ Study area

The Chingshui geothermal field is located in Datong Township, Yilan County, and belongs to the Miocene Lushan Formation.

Jentse Member Lsj \checkmark

Argillite or thin Interbeds of Argillite and Meta Sandstone.

✓ Chingshuihu Member

Lsc

Argillite or Slate, Occasionally Intercalated with thin Layers of Meta Sandstone.

✓ Chingshuihsi fault

Considered an important conduit for guiding the upward flow of heat.



- ♦ Objective
 - Exploring the impact of thermal-hydraulic-mechanical coupling in geothermal production processes.
 - Observing the impact of different parameters (porosity, pumping rate, and injection water temperature) on simulation results.

Thermal-Hydraulic-Mechanical coupling

Governing equations

$$v = -\frac{k}{\mu} (\nabla p + \rho_f g \nabla D)$$

$$\diamond \ \rho_f \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) + \rho_f S \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_f \boldsymbol{v}) = Q_m$$

$$(c\rho)_{eff} \frac{dT}{dt} + c_f \rho_f v \cdot \nabla T + \nabla \cdot (-k_{Teff} \nabla T) = Q_T$$



Conceptual model & Geological model



 Conceptual model of Chingshui geothermal field (Lee et al, 2012)



1 Injection & production well

Boundary conditions



Bottom view



initial conditions

- Given the model with a top boundary temperature of 20 °C and an increasing geothermal gradient (65°C /km) from top to bottom.
- Given the model a hydraulic gradient (0.1 mm/m), causing groundwater flow from south to north.

Fluid & Matrix parameter settings

Parameter	l lnit	Fluids
	Unit	Water (20°C)
Density	$rac{kg}{m^3}$	997.03
Compressibility	$\frac{1}{Pa}$	4.44×10^{-10}
Dynamic viscosity	$Pa \cdot s$	8.925×10^{-4}
Heat capacity	$\frac{J}{kg \cdot K}$	4200
Thermal conductivity	$\frac{W}{m \cdot K}$	0.6

		Matrix			
Parameter	Unit	Lushan Formation	Chingshuishi fault	Xiaonanao fault	G fault
Density	$\frac{kg}{m^3}$	2700			
Porosity	_	0.015	0.05	0.1	0.1
Young's modulus	Ра	$3.17 imes 10^{10}$			
Poisson ratio	-	0.31			
Permeability	m^2	10 ⁻¹⁶	10-14	10 ⁻¹⁴	10 ⁻¹⁰
Thermal conductivity	$\frac{W}{m \cdot K}$	3			
Heat capacity	$\frac{J}{kg \cdot K}$	800			
Thermal expansion coefficient	$\frac{1}{K}$	13 × 10 ⁻⁶			

Sensitivity analysis

The parameter sensitivity analysis in this study involved varying parameters such as **porosity**, geothermal well **flow rate**, and **injection water temperature** by $\pm 50\%$ from the base-case values to observe their impact on the simulation results.

		Matrix			
Parameter	Unit	Lushan Formation	Chingshuishi fault	Xiaonanao fault	G fault
permeability	m^2	10-16	10-14	10-14	10-10
Flow rate	$\frac{ton}{hr}$	60			
Injection temperature	°C	60			

The parameter settings for the base-case



✓ In a steady-state simulation, the model ran for fifty thousand years until all the physical fields reached a steady state.

Steady-state simulation



2023/10/17

iglet Transient simulation

 ✓ In a transient simulation, the geothermal well was activated to begin pumping, and the simulation ran for 30 years.

◆ Impact of permeability

Case	Initial	After 30a	Difference
В	182.38°C	177.18°C	-5.2°C
B×0.5	183.49°C	175.14°C	-8.35°C
B×1.5	181.96°C	181.80°C	-0.16°C

Temporal variation of temperature

2023/10/17

Impact of different pumping rates (with hydraulic gradients = 0.1 mm/m)

Case	Initial	After 30a	Difference
В	182.38°C	177.36°C	-5.02°C
B×0.5	182.38°C	184.85°C	+2.47°C
B×1.5	182.38°C	168.75°C	-13.63°C

Temporal variation of temperature around the production well

2023/10/17

Impact of different injection temperatures (with hydraulic gradients = 0.1 mm/m)

Case	Initial	After 30a	Difference
В	182.38°C	177.36°C	-5.02°C
B×0.5	182.38°C	175.19°C	-7.09°C
B×1.5	182.38°C	179.61°C	-2.77°C

Temporal variation of temperature around the production well

Conclusions

Conclusions

- This study successfully established a thermal-hydraulic-mechanical coupled numerical model. The results of parameter sensitivity analysis indicate that the production temperature exhibits higher sensitivity to pumping rate and permeability, while showing lower sensitivity to the injection water temperature.
- In future work, the actual distribution of geothermal well locations and pumping rate data will be incorporated. Based on the results of this study, a more careful parameter setup for the numerical model will be conducted, considering the impact of dependent parameters on the system.

Thank you for listening