A software integrating sophisticated transport analytical model, GIS, and human health risk assessment for comprehensive site evaluation of groundwater contaminated with chlorinated solvents

> Advisor : Prof. Jui-Sheng Chen Prof. Ching-Ping Liang Student : Hong-Yan Liao Date : March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2025

## Outline

- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results and discussions
- Conclusions and future work

**Contaminated site evaluation** 

#### **Groundwater contamination**

Groundwater resources are important. However, the contamination of the subsurface environment pose threats to human health.

Chlorinated solvents are common contaminants found in contaminated groundwater.

- Used for dry cleaning, metal degreasing
- Dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)
- Carcinogenic



### Human health risk assessment (HHRA)

- HHRA is the process to estimate the potential adverse health effects in humans who are exposed to chemicals in contaminated environmental media.
- HHRA helps in decision-making for site remediation and risk management, also can help governments to deliver technical knowledge to the public.



Four steps of human health risk assessment process

### **Previous contaminants transport analytical solution software**

• BIOCHLOR

**BIOCHLOR** interface

- Excel-based table software.
- Most used software simulates remediation by natural attenuation released in 2000.

# All contaminants can only use the same retardation factor.

<b>BIOCHLOR Natural</b>	Attenuation	<b>Decision Sup</b>	port System	System Cape Canave			veral	Data Input Instructions:				
			Version 2.2		Fire	raining	Area		115 -	-1. Ent	er value direc	tiyor
			Excel 2000	1	-	Run Nam	0		∧ or	2. Cal	culate by fillin	g in gray
TYPE OF CHLORINATED SC	DLVE NT:	Ethen es ®	5. GENERAL						0.02	cells	Press Enter	then cl
		Ethanes O	Simulation Time*	33	(yr)	L_L	$\rightarrow$		store for	nulas, hit '	Restore Form	ulas" bu
1. ADVECTION			Modeled Area Width*	700	(#) 10	T		V	ariable*-	Data	used directly	in model.
Seepage Velocity*	Vs	111,7 (ft/yr)	Modeled Area Length*	1085	(#)	-	-	Test if				200 DOL 10 DO
or		A	Zone 1 Length*	1085	(1)			Biotran	formatio	in .	Natural Att	enuation
Hydraulic Conductivity	к	1,8E-02 (cm/s	ac) Zone 2 Length*	0	(意)	Zone 2=		s Occu	rring	$\rightarrow$	Screening	Protocol
Hydraulic Gradient	i	0 (fo'ft)				L - Zone	1					000000000
Effective Porosity	n	0,2 (-)	6. SOURCE DATA T	YPE:	Decayi	ng	1	Vertical	Plane Si	ource: Di	etermine Sou	rce Well
2. DISPERSION	1	Contract of the	Source Options		Single	Planar	/	Locatio	and Inp	ut Solver	nt Concentrat	ons
Alpha x*	40 (ft)	Calc. Aloba x					1					
(Alpha y) / (Alpha x)*	0,1 (-)		Source Thickness in Sat. Z	one*	56	(#)	And					
(Alpha z) / (Alpha x)*	1,E-99 (-)		Y1								and the second se	
3. ADSORPTION			Width* (ft) 105			1.40		-				<b>3</b>
Retardation Factor*						Ka		1	1			
or	Internet and the second	1	Conc. (mg/L)* C1			(1/yr)		/ /	2			
Soil Bulk Density, rho	1,6 (kg/L	)	PCE ,056			0,2	-7	/				
FractionOrganicCarbon, foc	1,8E-3 (-)		TCE 15,8			0,2	1	1	Viewoff	Plume Lo	oking Down	
Partition Coefficient	Koc 🎽		DCE 98,5			0,2	/ /	·				
PCE	426 (L/kg	) 7,13 (-)	VC 3,08			0,2 /	1	Obs	erved Ce	nterline (	Conc. at Moni	toring Wells
TCE	130 (L/kg	) 2,87 (-)	E TH 0,03			0,2/	/					
DCE	125 (L/kg	) 2,80 (-)				1 9	/					
VC	30 (L/kg	) 1,43 (-)	7. FIELD DATAFOR COMP	ARISO	N /		_	_	_	_		
ETH	302 (L/Kg	) 5,35 (-)	PCE Conc. (mg/L)	,050						_	-	_
COMMON RECORDER TION	used in modely	= = 2,87 *	DCE Conc. (mg/L)	15,6	,22	776	,024	,019		_		_
Tone 1	-1st Order Dec	ay Coemcient*	VC Conc (mg/L)	30,3	3,40	,//0	1,2	,000		-	10 E	_
	2.000	namine (yrs) Yield	ETH Cana (mg/L)	0.0	3,00	,197	4,52	3,024		-	-	-
TCE DCE	1000	0,79	Distance from Source (#)	0,0	580	650	030	1085		-		-
	0.700	0,74	Data Data Collected	100.0	000	000	330	1005				
VC > FTH	0,100	0,04	8 CHOOSE TYPE OF OUTP	HIT TO	SEE							
700e2	3 (100	ball life (urs)	a. choose thre of our	5110	JLL.	_		-				
PCE TCE	0.000	Industrie (yrs)							H	In	Restore	RESET
TCE	0.000	HEL					AV			p	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	0.000		KON CENTERLINE		KUN ARRAY							
VC SETH	0.000	-							SEE OU	TPUT	Paste Exa	
VG -> EIH	0.000											

- HYDROSCAPE
  - MATLAB-based window software.
  - With map view result.
  - Released in 2017.

#### Only can simulate single contaminant.



#### HYDROSCAPE interface

### **MUSt software**

MUSt (MUltiSpecies transport analytical model):

- Using analytical solutions (Liao et al., 2021) for simulating transport of chlorinated solvent contaminant and its degradation products, without the limitations of those previous software.
- Integrated with human health risk assessment for groundwater ingestion.
- With user-friendly interface and multiple visualize output results.

#### What other features may be needed?

- Site information management module
  - Multiple exposure pathways



Introduction

#### Introduction

### Why new features?

- Site information management module:
  - Improve the efficiency
  - Better management of data
- Multiple exposure pathways:
  - Accurate Dose Estimation
  - Provide a solid scientific basis





7

Introduction

### Objective

• This study enhances existing software MUSt, integrating advanced functionalities for managing and visualizing site information (GIS), and expands the human health risk assessment module to consider multiple exposure pathways.

#### Software usage flow chart



#### Methodology

#### **Contaminant transport model**

• MUSt software base on the three-dimensional multispecies ADEs from Liao et al., 2021:



 $g_{i-1 \rightarrow i}$ : yield coefficient(-)

#### **Exposure dose calculation and exposure pathways**

<sup>1</sup> USEPA, 1989
 <sup>2</sup> Andelman, 1990

Exposure dose (average daily dose, ADD) are calculated as:

- Groundwater ingestion<sup>1</sup>:  $ADD = C_w \times \frac{IR \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$
- Groundwater inhalation through shower<sup>2</sup>:  $ADD = (C_{a1} \times IR_s \times t_1 + C_{a2} \times IR_s \times t_2) \times \frac{EV_s \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$ where  $C_{a1} = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{C_W \times f \times F_s \times t_1}{V_a} \times CF$ ,  $C_{a2} = \frac{C_W \times f \times F_s \times t_2}{V_a} \times CF$
- Groundwater inhalation through indoor washing<sup>1</sup>:  $ADD = C_a \times \frac{IR_w \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$

where 
$$C_a = \frac{C_W \times f \times F_W}{V_h \times ER \times MC} \times CF$$

• Groundwater dermal contact through shower or washing<sup>1</sup>:  $ADD = DA \times SA \times \frac{EV \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$ 

For  $t \le 2.4\tau$   $DA = 2 \times FA \times K_p \times C_w \times \sqrt{6 \times \frac{\tau \times t}{\pi}} \times CF$ For  $t > 2.4\tau$  $DA = FA \times K_p \times C_w \times \left[\frac{t}{1+B} + 2 \times \tau \left(\frac{1+3 \times B+3 \times B^2}{(1+B)^2}\right)\right] \times CF$ 

ADD: average daily dose (mg/kg-day)
C<sub>w</sub>: contaminant concentration in groundwater (mg/L)
EF: exposure frequency (days/year)
ED: exposure duration (years)
BW: body weight (kg); AT: average lifetime (days)

### **Parameters in ADD calculation**

- IR: water ingestion rate (L/day)
- $IR_s$ : shower inhalation rate  $(m^3/hour)$ ,  $IR_w$ : inhalation rate  $(m^3/day)$
- *EV*: event frequency (events/day),  $EV_s$ : shower event frequency (events/day)
- $C_a$ : contaminant concentration in air  $(mg/m^3)$
- $C_{a1}$ : contaminant concentration in air while shower  $(mg/m^3)$ ,  $C_{a2}$ : contaminant concentration in air after shower  $(mg/m^3)$
- $t_1$ : shower time (*hour*),  $t_2$ : the time still stays in bathroom after shower (*hour*)
- $V_a$ : bathroom volume (L),  $V_h$ : house volume (L)
- $F_s$ : shower water flow rate (L/hour),  $F_w$ : daily water usage (L/day)
- *f*: evaporation fraction (-)
- *ER*: indoor air exchanged rate (air changes/day)
- *MC*: air mixture coefficient (-)
- DA: exposure dose in single event  $(mg/cm^2)$
- FA: absorb fraction (-)
- SA: skin surface area  $(cm^2)$
- $K_p$ : skin permeability coefficient (cm/hour)
- $\tau$ : lag time per event (hour)
- B: Relative permeability coefficient ratio of the contaminant from the stratum corneum to the epidermis (-)
- *t*: time of skin contact in single event (hour)
- *CF*: unit transfer factor  $(L/m^3 \text{ or } L/cm^3)$

### **Risk calculation**

• Non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risk indexes are calculated as:

• Non-carcinogenic: 
$$R_{ep} = \frac{ADD}{RfD_{ep}}$$

• Carcinogenic:  $R_{ep} = ADD \times SF_{ep}$ 

ep = oral, inhalation, dermal contact

• The total non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risk are calculated as:

$$R_{total} = R_{oral} + R_{inh} + R_{dermal}$$

ADD: average daily dose (mg/kg-day)RfD: reference dose (mg/kg-day);SF: cancer slope factor  $(\frac{1}{mg/kg-day})$ RfC: inhalation reference dose(mg/m<sup>3</sup>);IR: inhalation rate(m<sup>3</sup>/day) $ABS_{GI}$ : fraction of chemicals absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract(-)

Transfer function Inhalation:

$$RfD_{oral} = RfC \times \frac{IR_{inh}}{BW}$$
$$SF_{oral} = SF_{inh} \times \frac{BW}{IR_{inh}}$$
Dermal contact:

$$RfD_{dermal} = RfD_{oral} \times ABS_{GI}$$
$$SF_{dermal} = \frac{SF_{oral}}{ABS_{GI}}$$

#### **Development tools**

• .NET is a platform provides a large class library, enabling developers to create high-performance applications.
• Csharp is the most popular programming language in .NET which can build a wide range of applications from desktop to mobile.



- Visualization toolkit is a library for 3D computer graphics, image processing, and scientific visualization.
- Widely used in fields like medical imaging, computational fluid dynamics, and geological data visualization.



• Gmap.NET is a library in .NET provides interactive maps from various providers, supports creating objects on map.

#### Results and discussions

#### **User interface : Site**



#### By importing shapefile and text file, the boundary of the site and the well location can be shown on the map.



### **User interface : Geology**

			File						
					MUSt	- Set up borehole o	data record -	O Input	
									Material
	ite Boreholes txt	× +			Site	~	E	lit Clea	2 : Fine Sand
	د <u>د بع بم بع</u>				Site	BH-1	x 237647.575	2732199.988 6	3 : Gravel_with_Sand
佰条	編輯 1双 1兄				Geology	BH-1	237647.575	2732199.988 1	I : sanay_siir 4 : Muaay_sanasione
Name	X Y	ZSoil_I	ID Material_Name	a . a		BH-1	237647.575	2732199.988 0	BH-1
BH - 1 BH - 1	237647.575 237647.575	2732199.988 2732199.988	6.996 1 1.996 2	Sandy_Silt Fine Sand	Hydrology	BH-1 BH-1	237647.575	2732199.988 -	BH-2
BH - 1 BH - 1	237647.575	2732199.988	0.646 3	Gravel_with_Sand		BH-2	237505.7507	2732062.319 7	t BH-7
BH-1	237647.575	2732199.988	-16.004 4	Muddy_Sandstone	Chemistry	BH-2	237505.7507	2732062.319 -(	2732300 BH-6 BH-9
BH-2 BH-2	237505.7507 237505.7507	2732062.319 2732062.319	7.5348 1 -0.1152 2	Sandy_Silt Fine Sand	Site Conceptual	BH-2	237505.7507	2732062.319 -:	2732200 27732200 BH-8
BH-2	237505.7507	2732062.319	-2.2152 3	Gravel_with_Sand	Model Integration	BH-2	237303.7307	2732062.313 -	273210-
BH-2 BH-2	237505.7507	2732062.319	-15.9652	4 Muddy_Sandstone	Risk Assessment				273200 100 F
BH-3 BH-3	237405.6247 237405.6247	2731874.484 2731874.484	8.2259 1 -1.9741 3	Sandy_Silt Gravel with Sand	Nisk Assessment				
BH-3 BH-3	237405.6247	2731874.484	-9.5741 4	Muddy_Sandstone	Output				273 1700 80
BH-4	237603.7981	2731787.782	8.2559 1	Sandy_Silt					273 10 100L
BH-4 BH-4	237603.7981 237603.7981	2731787.782 2731787.782	1.0559 2 0.0059 3	Fine_Sand Gravel with Sand					273 00 200 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
BH-4	237603.7981	2731787.782	-8.3941 4	Muddy_Sandstone					y/ <sup>Z</sup> 23730@3740@3750@3760@37700.3780@3790@3800038100
BH-5	237659.3649	2731605.419	7.2987 1	andy_Silt					V_x X-Axis
BH-5 BH-5	237659.3649 237659.3649	2731605.419 2731605.419	0.5487 2 -0.8513 3	Fine_Sand Gravel with Sand					
BH-5	237659.3649	2731605.419	-7.7513 4	Muddy_Sandstone					
BH-6	237910.071	2731836.549	8.9415 1	Sandy_Silt					
BH-6 BH-6	237910.071 237910.071	2731836.549 2731836.549	1.7415 3 -5.9085 4	Gravel_with_Sand Muddy Sandstone	By imp	porting tex	xt file,		
BH-6	237910.071	2731836.549	-14.5585	4 Muddy_Sandstone	the hor	ahola raci	ord ca	n ha show	in as the cylinder inside the boundary
BH-7 BH-7	237966.2291	2732019.724	5.0676 3	Gravel_with_Sand			oru ca		in as the cynnicer more the boundary.
BH-7 BH-7	237966.2291 237966.2291	2732019.724 2732019.724	-6.8324 4 -12.9324	Muddy_Sandstone 4 Muddy Sandstone					

#### **User interface : Hydrology**



2731605.419

2731836.549

2732019.724

2731886.543

7.1887

7.5657 7.85

237659.3649

237910.071

237966.2291

238083.3117

BH-6

BH-7

BH-9



#### By importing text file,

the hydraulic head record can be shown on the map picture, also to generate flow field and average flow direction.

#### **User interface : Chemistry**



#### **User interface : Output**



#### Results and discussions



20

- TCE

100

x [m]

120

140

160 180 200

- a. Inhalation through shower using groundwater
- b. Inhalation through indoor washing using groundwater
- c. Total risk

#### **Conclusions and future work**

- This study enhance the software's ability, incorporate geographic, geological, hydrological, and chemical visualizations, while also consider multiple exposure pathways. These improvements provide a comprehensive framework for site evaluation and decision-making.
- The new version software can improve the efficiency of site management while enhancing risk communication, enabling more informed decisions and fostering better stakeholder engagement.
- Future work :
  - Improve the risk calculation function with more exposure pathways considered.
  - Add probabilistic method into software for the more complex contaminated site evaluation.

# Thank you for your attention!

## Reference

- United States Environmental Protection Agency : <u>https://www.epa.gov/</u>
- Exact analytical solutions with great computational efficiency to three-dimensional multispecies advectiondispersion equations coupled with a sequential first-order reaction network : https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170821001731
- HYDROSCAPE: A new versatile software program for evaluating contaminant transport in groundwater : https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235271101730050X
- 103年環保署 土壤及地下水污染場址健康風險評估方法

$$D_{x}\frac{\partial^{2}C_{1}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial x^{2}} + D_{y}\frac{\partial^{2}C_{1}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial y^{2}} + D_{z}\frac{\partial^{2}C_{1}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial z^{2}} - v\frac{\partial C_{1}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial x}$$

$$-\mu_{1}R_{1}C_{1}(x,y,z,t) = R_{1}\frac{\partial C_{1}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial t}, \quad 0 \le x \le \infty, \quad 0 \le y \le W, \quad 0 \le z \le H$$
(1a)

$$D_x \frac{\partial^2 C_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x^2} + D_y \frac{\partial^2 C_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y^2} + D_z \frac{\partial^2 C_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z^2} - v \frac{\partial C_i(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x}$$

$$-\mu_{i}R_{i}C_{i}(x,y,z,t) + g_{i-1\to i}\mu_{i-1}R_{i-1}C_{i-1}(x,y,z,t) = R_{i}\frac{\partial C_{i}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial t} ,$$

 $0 \le x \le \infty, \ 0 \le y \le W, \ 0 \le z \le H \quad i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ 

I.C.

 $C_i(x, y, z, t = 0) = 0 \ 0 \le x \le \infty, \ 0 \le y \le W, \ 0 \le z \le H$ i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 B.C.

(1b)

(2)

$$C_i(x = 0, y, z, t) = C_{i,0}[H(y - y_1) - H(y - y_2)] \cdot [H(z - z_1) - H(z - z_2)]$$
  
$$i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

(3a)

$$\frac{\partial C_i(x, y = 0, z, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial C_i(x, y = W, z, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$
(6)

$$\frac{\partial C_i(x, y, z = 0, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial C_i(x, y, z = H, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$
(8)







SoftwareX 6 (2017) 261–266



contaminant cancer

四氯乙烯PCE	Bladder cancer, liver cancer, kidneys cancer and blood system related cancers
三氯乙烯TCE	Kidney, liver, and lymphoma

氯乙烯VC

Liver cancer, brain cancer, lung cancer, blood cancer