

### Geothermal Power Estimation with a Single Fracture Circle Model in Enhanced Geothermal Systems



Cheng-Wen Chu Professor : Dr. Yi-Chia Lu

2025/04/18

### Outline



# **Geothermal Potential in Taiwan**

#### Introduction

- The National Energy Program (NEP) calculated Taiwan's deep geothermal potential to be 33.6 GWe in 2012.
- In 2013, a study by Li,Ching-Jui from ITRI estimated the deep geothermal potential of Taiwan's top nine geothermal areas at 31.8 GWe.
- Chen, Chi-Hsuan from the Central Geological Survey reported in 2023 that the latest calculation of deep geothermal potential is 39.7 GWe.
- Overall, Taiwan's deep geothermal potential is estimated to be around 30 to 40 GWe.

![](_page_2_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Total : ~ 40 GWe in 10 potential areas

| No.                |                    | 1     | 11                   | 111    | IV      | V                | VI                | VII    | VIII      | IX     | Х      |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|--------|---------|------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Name               |                    | Tatun | Chinsuei-<br>Tuchang | Lushan | Reisuei | Wulu-<br>Yenping | Chiben<br>-Jinlun | Baolai | Guanzilin | Miaoli | Dongpu |
| Volume             | km <sup>3</sup>    | 809   | 2774                 | 1096   | 1237    | 1900             | 2011              | 1412   | 1254      | 431    | 780    |
| Average T          | °C                 | 235   | 227                  | 236    | 219     | 225              | 215               | 212    | 215       | 217    | 219    |
| Heat<br>content    | 10 <sup>18</sup> J | 245.2 | 795.0                | 332.0  | 334.7   | 530.8            | 531.1             | 360.2  | 324.3     | 113.6  | 209.2  |
| Power<br>available | GWe                | 2.7   | 8.5                  | 3.7    | 3.5     | 5.6              | 5.4               | 3.6    | 3.3       | 1.2    | 2.2    |

### How to product deep geothermal energy Introduction

- Key points for developing geothermal power plant : Heat source, Reservoir(fracture), Liquid
- Deep Geothermal : Only need Heat source
- EGS : Create Fracture and inject liquid
- AGS : Closed wellbore
- ≻ How Deep?
- 3000m
- Next-Generation

![](_page_3_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

增強型地熱系統 進階型地熱系統 EGS(Enhanced Geothermal Systems) AGS(Advanced Geothermal Systems)

### EGS

#### Introduction

- Low permeability
- Low porosity
- High Geothermal Gradient

![](_page_4_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Usually EGS

#### Introduction

 Injection well
 Injection water
 Hydro fracture
 Production well
 Stable injectionproduction system

Idea of deep hot dry rocks heat mining was described Konstantin Tsiolkovsky , 1898 ; Charles Parsons , 1904 ; Vladimir Obruchev , 1920

![](_page_5_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Injection Well

An injection well is drilled into hot basement rock that has limited permeability and fluid content. All of this activity occurs considerably below water tables, and at depths greater than 5000 feet. This particular type of geothermal reservoir represents an enormous potential energy resource!

#### Injecting Water

Water is injected at sufficient pressure to ensure fracturing, or open existing fractures within the developing reservoir and hot basement rock.

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **?** Hydro-fracture

Pumping of water is continued to extend fractures and reopen old fractures some distance from the injection wellbore and throughout the developing reservoir and hot basement rock. This is a crucial step in the EGS process.

![](_page_5_Picture_13.jpeg)

A production well is drilled with the intent to intersect the stimulated fracture system created in the previous step, and circulate water to extract the heat from the hot basement rock with improved permeability.

Additional production wells are drilled to extract heat from large volumes of hot basement rock to meet power generation requirements. Now a previously unused but large energy resource is available for clean, geothermal power generation.

![](_page_5_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_17.jpeg)

### Single Fracture Circle System

- The single fracture cycle is a simplified model of a complex hot dry rock extraction system. It is represented as a single, elliptical, lead-shaped fracture, with the injection well, fracture zone, and production well forming a complete thermal energy extraction loop (Tester and Smith, 1977).
- ➤ In this model, cold water is injected into the elliptical fracture, where it exchanges heat with the surrounding hot rock. The heated water is then recovered through the production well.

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Lin,2014

### Heat transfer from rock to water

- The mechanism by which rocks transfer heat to water is typically simplified as a process of heat transfer from a constant-temperature, hightemperature reservoir to a constant-temperature, low-temperature reservoir.
- ➤ The rate of heat conduction is proportional to the contact area between materials and their thermal conductivity coefficient. This approach assumes that water circulates continuously and acts as a constanttemperature heat reservoir. Additionally, the hot dry rock far from the wellbore is assumed to behave as a constant-temperature, hightemperature heat source. Heat transfer occurs due to the temperature difference between these two reservoirs.
- $\succ$  As the low-temperature water continuously extracts heat from the formation, the depth of the thermal conduction zone increases over time.

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Methodology

高温鼓效

 $\alpha = k / \rho c$   $\alpha$  = thermal diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup>/s) K=Thermal conductivity (W/m.K)  $\rho$ =rock density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) c=specific heat of rock (J/kg.K)

2. Penetration depth

 $\delta=2\sqrt{\alpha t}$ 

δ=penetration depth (m) α =thermal diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup>/s) t=time(s) 3. Minable volume

 $V = 2 \ \delta A$ V=Minable volume (m<sup>3</sup>)  $\delta$ =penetration depth(m) A=Creation fracture Area (m<sup>2</sup>)

4. Output power

 $P = V\rho c(Ti - Tf)/t$  P = Output power(W)  $V=Minable volume (m^3)$   $\rho=rock density(kg/m^3)$  c=specific heat of rock(J/kg.K) Ti=Initial temperature of thermal reservoir (°C) Tf=Final temperature of thermal reservoir (°C)

### **EGS** Design

#### Methodology

- In EGS projects, a single large fracture is unlikely to form. Instead, multiple small, parallel fractures are used for heat exchange.
- The spacing between two fractures is typically twice the penetration depth. Based on the desired thermal output, the total fracture volume can be estimated, from which the required surface area is calculated. This area, divided by the penetration depth, gives the number of fractures needed.

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

Han,2019

### **Binary Generator efficiency**

Most EGS test sites use binary generator sets (ORC systems), which operate similarly to air conditioners—using a **low-boiling-point working fluid** to convert heat into electricity. According to Zarrouk (2014), their efficiency depends strongly on the geothermal fluid temperature

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

η=6.9681ln(Tin)-29.713

h=Power generation efficiency (%) Zarrouk,2014 Tin=the temperature of the geothermal fluid at the inlet (°C)

### Work Flow

|            | • Initial temperature(°C)                                |
|------------|--|
|            | • Final temperature(°C)                                  |
|            | Number of production and injection wells                 |
| Set        | • Power plant capacity(MW)                               |
| Conditions | • efficiency(%)  |
|            |  |
|            | <ul> <li>Thermal conductivity(W/m.K)</li> </ul>          |
|            | <ul> <li>Thermal diffusivity(m<sup>2</sup>/s)</li> </ul> |
| Collect    | <ul> <li>Rock density(kg/m<sup>3</sup>)</li> </ul>       |
| parameters | Two well intervals                                       |
|            |  |
|            | Required fracture area(m <sup>2</sup> )                  |
|            | • Single fracture area(m <sup>2</sup> )                  |
|            | Number of fracture required                              |
| Calculate  | Thermal wave penetration depth(m)                        |
|            |  |
|            | The required length of each well                         |
|            | • Fracture intervale                                     |
| Desults    |  |
| Results    | • EGS design   |
|            |  |

### Parameter

#### **Results and Discussions**

As an assumption for the following estimates, a 5 MW EGS geothermal power plant is considered to be built in the slate belt of the Lanyang Plain, with an expected operational lifespan of 20 years.

| Thermal           | Specific heat of | Rock                        | Thermal                        | Table 3 T<br>Sumple           | Thermal paran<br>Thermal<br>conductivit                             | neters of e<br>A<br>y<br>-1 | examined slates measurements of conductivity | Effusivity<br>e/W×s <sup>0.5</sup> n | n <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>      | Anisotropy coef-<br>ficient\of effusivity | Diffusivity $a/1 \times 10^{-7}$ | m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> | Anisotropy coeffi-<br>cient of diffusivity |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| conductivity(W/m. | rock(J/kg K)     | density(kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | diffusivity(m <sup>2</sup> /s) | MOK1<br>MOK2                  | k <sub>II</sub> k           3.65         1           3.92         1 | .61 2<br>.52 2              | 2.3<br>2.6                                   | e <sub>II</sub><br>2814.6<br>2877.5  | e⊥<br>1798.3<br>1724.83              | e <sub>IL</sub> e⊥<br>1.6<br>1.7          | a <sub>II</sub><br>16.8<br>18.6  | α <sub>⊥</sub><br>8.0<br>7.8   | a <sub>II</sub> a⊥<br>2.1<br>2.4           |
| K)                |                  |                             |                                | ZAL3<br>LHO4                  | 3.42 1<br>3.70 1  | .43 2<br>.79 2<br>.52 2     | 2.4<br>2.1                                   | 2660.4<br>2780.6                     | 1674.3<br>1866.8                     | 1.6<br>1.5                                | 16.5<br>17.7                     | 7.3<br>9.2                     | 2.3<br>1.9                                 |
| 2.784             | 1,053            | 2,800                       | 9.44E-7                        | CER6<br>CLD7<br>VOD8          | 3.58 1<br>3.72 1<br>3.49 1  | .65 2<br>.71 2<br>.51 2     | 2.2<br>2.2<br>2.3                            | 2782.4<br>2775.6<br>2669.5           | 1762.8<br>1897.2<br>1726.4           | 1.6<br>1.5<br>1.6                         | 16.6<br>17.9<br>17.1             | 8.7<br>8.1<br>7.6              | 1.9<br>2.2<br>2.2                          |
| Power plant       | Thermoelectric   | Initial                     | Final                          | VEG1<br>TRG1<br>CSTR1<br>KUN2 | 2.86 2<br>2.69 2<br>3.02 1<br>3.40 3                                | .65 1<br>.40 1<br>.99 1     | l.1<br>l.1<br>l.5                            | 2396.1<br>2319.8<br>2473.7<br>2650.9 | 2295.3<br>2176.1<br>1968.9<br>2535.1 | 1.0<br>1.1<br>1.3                         | 14.2<br>13.4<br>14.9             | 1.3<br>1.2<br>1.0              | 1.1<br>1.1<br>1.5                          |
| capacity(MW)      | conversion       | temperature(°C)             | temperature(°C)                |                               | 5.40 5  |                             |  | 2000.7                               | 4.1.1.1.1.1                          |   | 10.4                             | 1.2                            |  |
|                   | efficiency(%)    |                             |                                |                               | Гhe   | rm                          | nal co                                       | nd                                   | uct                                  | ivity a                                   | anc                              | 1                              |  |
| 5                 | 6                | 250                         | 200                            | t                             | her   | ma                          | al diff                                      | usi                                  | vity                                 | / of s                                    | late                             | )<br>)                         |  |
| Number of         | Number of        | Operation                   | Two well intervals             | ] (                           | Ma  | łgo                         | orzata                                       | a L                                  | abi                                  | us et                                     | al.,                             | 20                             | )19)                                       |
| production wells  | injection wells  | time(year)                  | in the                         |                               |   |                             |  |                                      |                                      |   |                                  |                                |  |
|                   |                  |                             | reservoir(m)                   |                               |   |                             |  |                                      |                                      |   |                                  |                                |  |
| 2                 | 1                | 20                          | 200                            |                               |   |                             |  |                                      |                                      |   |                                  |                                |  |

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Requirements for a 5 MW EGS Plant in the Lanyang Plain (20-year Operation):

| Required fracture area(m <sup>2</sup> ) | Required heat<br>reservoir<br>volume(m <sup>3</sup> ) | Thermal wave<br>penetration<br>depth(m)  | Number of fracture required                                |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3,660,000                               | 3.6E8   | 48.81                                    | 30   |  |  |  |  |
| Number of fracture intervals            | fracture intervals<br>(m)                             | Single fracture<br>area(m <sup>2</sup> ) | The required length<br>of each well in the<br>reservoir(m) |  |  |  |  |
| 29                                      | 97.62   | 125,600                                  | 2,830.98   |  |  |  |  |

Result

#### **Results and Discussions**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

2830.98m,30 fractures

# Conclusions

- ➢ Based on the assumptions in this study, a 5 MW EGS plant would require approximately 3.66 million m<sup>2</sup> of surface area, equivalent to 0.98 km<sup>3</sup> of hot dry rock.
- Assuming a 200 m distance between the production and reinjection wells, and a oneinjection-two-production configuration, a bottom well length of 2,831 m is needed. About 30 fractures are assumed to connect the injection and production wells.
- If the water circulation effectively transports heat to the surface, an EGS system can be established under these conditions.
   For example, if the reservoir rock starts at 250 °C and the temperature drops by only 20% over 20 years of operation, a volume of ~1 km<sup>3</sup> would be sufficient to support a 5 MW plant.
- ➢ It should be noted that many parameters used here are hypothetical. In future assessments, real field data can be used with simulation tools to calculate flow rates and water volumes for more accurate evaluations.

### **Future Work**

- Other EGS designs
- ➢ Numerical modeling
- Financial Model

# Thanks for your attention